## **Amber Noworatzky**

From:

Scott McMeans - D2

Sent:

Wednesday, December 2, 2020 3:16 PM

To:

Nick Reimer

Cc:

Amber Noworatzky

Subject:

Re: RRFB's on Waldo Blvd. at N. 15th St.

Yes. Please add this.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 2, 2020, at 2:21 PM, Nick Reimer <nreimer@manitowoc.org> wrote:

Hello,

Could we add this to the next agenda? If we don't move the RRFB it will not get used.

Thanks,

Nick

<image001.png>

"We are committed to providing excellent police service and an unrelenting pursuit of justice, while maintaining order and securing a safe environment for everyone."

confidential notice: This communication with its contents, including attachments, may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. These items are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s) or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message. Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not a named recipient, please contact the sender immediately and do not disclose the contents to another, use it for any purpose, store or copy the information in any medium. Please destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you.

From: Greg Minikel

Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2020 9:36 AM

To: Nick Reimer

**Cc:** Dan Koski; Mark DeZeeuw; Amber Noworatzky **Subject:** RRFB's on Waldo Blvd. at N. 15th St.

Hi Nick,

I thought that we had some e-mails on this topic, but I could not find them. Maybe we just had a phone call.

Anyway, I know that the school crossing guard at this intersection is not utilizing the new RRFB's that the DOT installed, since they are located on the west side of the intersection. The old flashing LED signs were on the east side of the intersection.

I tried to look for information about them in all of the past years e-mails, etc. for the Waldo Blvd. reconstruction project, but I was not finding anything as to why the DOT installed them on the west side of the intersection in lieu of the east side where the old signs were located.

I thought I asked Brian Haen from the DOT why they put them up on the west side. I believe that he stated that they put them on the same side of the intersection that we did at N. 9<sup>th</sup> St. by Wilson Middle School.

I guess we did not pay enough attention to this issue or we never realized that having them on the west side would not be as desirable.

I was just happy that the DOT was funding them. At one time the Feds. said that the RRFB's would not be funded and in the end they are funding the RRFB signage.

I think we simply assumed that they would be used regardless of which side of the intersection they were on.

However, after watching the intersection function a little bit on a school morning, I now understand why they likely do not use the flashing signs.

Since, all parent drop-offs going to the school on North 15<sup>th</sup> St. are either turning left off of eastbound Waldo Blvd. or turning right off of westbound Waldo Blvd., the crossing guard would actually need to watch traffic for 2 street crossings in lieu of just one.

Normally, pedestrians crossing at a side street stop sign like this is not an issue, but with all of the traffic turning at this intersection (parent drop off) at the same time that kids are walking and crossing the streets is creating the conflicts.

I would recommend that we take this issue to the Public Safety Committee (December or January) and request them to approve us to move the RRFB's to the east side of the intersection, so that the school crossing guard will use them as we intended.

Mark DeZeeuw received a quote from Hubbart Electric to install 3 new concrete bases on the east side of the intersection. The cost was \$3,000. Mark said that we would have to furnish the mounting screws that are imbedded in the concrete bases.

So, we are looking at a total cost of approximately \$3,500 to relocate the 3 RRFB's to the east side of the intersection. I am not sure how we will fund this work. Perhaps, we will have to go to CAWG for an unbudgeted request??