14-1275

2013 Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR)

her ha The annual CMAR is a permit required document put together to assist municipalities and the DNR in determining the strengths and weaknesses of the sewage treatment facility, land application program, and sanitary collection system and lift stations. This mandatory report has been submitted for many years.

> The effluent discharged from the WWTF in February and March did not meet the BOD requirements of the permit and points were assessed to the report. All suspended solid and phosphorus limits were met in 2013. Traditionally these cold weather months are challenging due to the effects of the low temperature on the biomass at the plant. This is not uncommon for fixed film plants in northern climates.

> One bypasses of untreated sewage was documented and reported in 2013. This related to a broken pipe and sewer leak into the storm sewer. This line was televised and capped off in concrete.

There was 1 daily violation for chlorine residual.

Several points were also assessed for elevated molybdenum result in our biosolids. This concentration has been not been found in the past and has not been found in the bi monthly tests run since. The concentration is only above the threshold for "high quality" level sludge and is not above the ceiling concentration for land application.

Overall the report shows that the plant in most cases, is able to exceed effluent permit requirements at this time. As the plant ages and equipment fails or becomes obsolete we will attempt to repair or replace controls and equipment to maintain plant reliability and permit required removal efficiency. Cold weather operations remain difficult and meeting limits in the dead of winter is challenging to say the least. Planning is on going for future improvements in the plant that will allow us to maintain reliable service in the future.

The CMAR was reviewed and approved by the WWTF Board at their meeting on May 13th. The last step in the submittal process is to have a resolution number placed on it so I can e-file the report. The City Council needs to accept the report and "place on file" so I can report the resolution number on the last page of the document and validate the report. If you have any questions do not hesitate to call.

Brian Helminger (920) 686-3551

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Influent Flow and Loading

				Questi	ons	7		
l	problem by Gre	rage flows and	(C)BOD loa	idings.			,	

InFluent No.701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	X	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg.l	X	8/34		Influent Monthly Average(C) BOD Loading, pounds/day
January	5.610	Х	349	Х	8.34	= =	16352
February .	5.337	X	379	Х	8.34	=	16891
March	6.784	X	325	Х	8.34	=	18375
April	10.10	Х	165	Х	8.34	=	13937
May	6.592	Х	281	Χ	8.34	=	15433
June	6.007	Χ	282	Х	8.34	• i = i i	14104
July	6.410	X	293	Х	8.34	=	15677
August	5.674	Х	350	Х	8.34	=	16583
September	5.044	X	420	Χ	8.34	=	17688
October	5.634	X	574	Χ	8.34	- 1 - - 1	26970
November	7.203	Х	399	Х	8.34	=	23966
December	5.321	Х	393	Х	8.34	=	17456

Maximum month design flow and design (C)BOD loading.

	Design	X	% /,		% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	19	X	90	=	17.1
		x	100	=	19
Design (C)BOD, lbs./day	37500	x	90	=	33750
		x	100		37500

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Influent Flow and Loading (Continued)

	Number of times	s the flow and (C)	BOD exceeded 90	% or 100% of desi	gn, points earned,	and score:
		Months of Influent Flow	flow was greate	s Number of times r flow was greate	(C)BOD was	(C)BOD was.
			than 90% of design	than 100% of design	greater than 90% of design	greater than 100% of design
·	January	1	O	0	0	0
	February	1	0	0	0	0
	March	1	0	0	0	0
	April	1	0	0	0	0
	May	1	0	0	0	0
	June	1	0	0	0	0
	July	1	0	0	0	0
	August	1	0	O	0	0
	September	1	0	0	0	0
	Oelober -	1	0	0	0	0
	November	1	0	0	0	0
	iDecember	1	0	0	0	0
	Points per each exceedance		2	1	3	2
	Exceedances		0	0	0	0
	Points		0	0	0	0
	Total Number	of Points				0
and the second	Mas the influen	t flow motor calibr	rated in the last year	- r ^o		
			ated in the last yea			
	● Ye	es Enter last calibr	ation date, MM/DI	D/YYYY 06/28/20	013	
	O No	o -explain				
	<u> </u>					
	Sewer Use Ord	inance	Kan-sana sana sana sana sana sana sana sa			
	conventional p	ollutants ((C)BOD waste, or residences	, SS, or pH) or tox	ce that limited or pi ic substances to th		
	If No, please d	escribe:				

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2013 5/13/2014
nfluent Flow and Loading (Continued)
5.2 Was it necessary to enforce? O Yes No If Yes, please describe:
6. Septage Receiving
6.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps Yes O No Yes O No 6.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps Yes O No Yes O No O Yes No 1,934,600 gal 2,110,000 gal 0 gal 6.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes The WWTF is operating well under hydraulic and organic design capacities. Acceptance of hauled waste is limited or eliminated during high flow events due to storms and rain.
7 Pretreatment Pretreatment
7.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? O Yes No If Yes, describe the situationand your community's response:
7.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc? Yes O No If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the plant from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Facility	Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2014	Reporting Year: 2013
Influent F	low and Loading (Continued)		
	We accept landfill leachate and high strength waste a local limits of Chapter 25 of the Municipal Code.	as long as the analytical	data does not exceed

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance ((C)BOD)

Questions Monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for (C)BOD:

Outfall No.001	Monthly Average C(BOD) Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)*	Effluent Monthly Average C(BOD) (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	30	27	17		0	0
February	30	27	32	1	1	1
March	30	27	40	1	1	1
April	30	27	18	1	0	0
May	30	27	21	1	0	.0
June	30	27	13	1	0	0
July	30	27	15		0	0
August	30	27	16	1	0	0
September	30	27	17	1	0	0
October	30	27	24		0	0
November	30	27	20	1	0.	0
December.	30	27	13		0	0
		* Equals lii	mit if limit is <	=10		
Months of Discharge	э/уг.			12		
Points per each exc	eedance with 1	2 months of di	scharge:		7	3
Exceedances					2	2
Points					14	6
Total Number of Poi	nts					20

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

The attatched growth biology becomes sluggish and effluent suffers during the winter months. We maximize recirculation and wetting rates in order to extract the best treatment possible from the facility. The permit limit was not met despite removing over 91% and 87% of influent BOD for the months of February and March.

Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Facility Name: M	Tanitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2014	Reporting Year: 2013
Effluent Quality ar	nd Plant Performance ((C)BOD) (Continued)		
. (O Yes - enter last calibration date, MM/DD/YYY	Y:	
	No - explain:		
Me	eter taken out of servce in 2009.		
4. What	problems, if any, were experienced over the last year	r that threatened treatn	nent?
Со	old weather and low influent temperatures are constar	nt winter season challe	nges at this facility.
5. Other	Monitoring and Limits		
meta ((If Yes	At any time in the past year was there an exceedance als, pH, residual chlorine, or fecal coliform? Yes No s, please describe: here was one daily chlorine residual violation in 2013.		
If Ye	t any time in the past year was there an effluent acute Yes O No s, please describe: I permit required WET tests were performed and rete		
toxic Plea:	the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were step bity? Yes No No NA se explain unless not applicable: e eliminated the accepteance of a waste from a haule becifically to the daphnia in the toxicity testing.		

Total Points Generated	20
Score (100:Total Points Generated)	80
Section Grade	

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

	Monthly	90% of	Effluent	Months of	Permit Limit	90%
	Average TSS Limit	Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)*	Monthly Average	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance	Li Exce
	(mg/L)		TSS (mg/L)			
January	30	27	7	1	0	
February	30	27	8	1	0	
Mareh	30	27	10	1	0	
- April	30	27	6	1	0	
May	30 .	27	5		0	
June	30	27	4		0	
July	30	27	4	1	0	
Augusi	30	27	4	1	0	
September	30	27	9	1	0	
Oelober	30	27	9	1	0	
November	30	27	8	1	0	
December	30	27	9	1	.0	
		* Equals li	mit if limit is <:	=10		
Months of Discharg	e/yr			12		
Points per each exc	eedance with 1	2 months of di	scharge:		7	3
Exceedances					0	0
Points					0	0
Total Number of Po	ints					0

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	A

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

Mo	nthly average effluent		stions and points for Phos	phorus:	
	Outfall No.001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
	January	1	0.9	1	0
	February	1	0.8	1	0
	March	1	0.8	1	0
	April	1	0.6		0
	May	1	0.6	1	0
	June	1	0.6		0
	July		0.6	1 1	0
	August	1	0.6	1	0
	September		0.6	1	0
	October	1	0.6	1	0
	November	1	0.5	1	0
	December	1	0.6		0
1000	lonths of Discharge/yr			12	
222	oints per each exceed	ance with 12 months o	f discharge:		10
(12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12)	xceedances				0
	otal Number of Points				0
fo di E:	OTE: For systems that it it is section shall be to scharge. xample: For a wastewa 2/6 = 2.0	pased upon a multiplica	ation factor of 12 mo	onths divided by the	number of months
If a	any violations occurred	, what action was take	n to regain compliar	nce?	
lΓ					
L					

Se	core (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
	Section Grade	Α

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Biosolids Quality and Management

Questions	Points					
Biosolids Use/Disposal:						
1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids?(Check all that apply) Land Applied Under Your Permit Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids Hauled to Another Permitted Facility Landfilled Incinerated Other						
NOTE:If you do not remove biosolids from your system annually, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc, and if biosolids were land applied last year, please also check top box above.						
1.1.1 If you checked Other, Please describe:						
Land Application Site:						
Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites						
2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 2.1.2 How many acres did you use?						
7290.70 acres 397.2 acres						
2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?						
2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year?	0					
O Yes(30 points)						
 No 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 	O					
4 years? Yes						
O No (10 points)						
O N/A						
3. Biosolids Metals						
Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit = 2						
3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year						
BIOSOLIDS METALS CHARACTERISTICS						

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

10

	Biosolids	Quality	and	Management	(Continued)
--	-----------	---------	-----	------------	-------------

Outfall:003	- Cake	Sludg	je													•		
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	mg/kg	on a d	ry weig	ht basi	s							-	Times I	Exceede	d
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
arsenic		41	75	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
cadmium		39	85	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
copper		1500	4300	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
lead		300	840	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
mercury		17	57	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
molybdenum	60		75	0		0		0		0		0		0 .		0		0
nickel	336		420	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
selenium	80		100	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
zinc		2800	7500	0		0		0		0		0		0	1		0	0

Outfall:002 - Liquid Sludge

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	mg/kg	on a d	ry weig	ht basi	s								Times I	Exceede	d
				Jan	Feb [.]	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
arsenic		41	75	4.4		7.6		28		28.6		9.4			31.6		0	0
cadmium		39	85	.59		1.1		.19		1.07		1.8			1.13		Victoria de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya d	0
copper .		1500	4300	573		646		64		668		731			677		0	0
lead		300	840	26		29		46		37.4		29			21		0	0
mercury		17	57	1.2		.89		.96		1.34		.59			9		0	0
molybdenum	60		75	16		15		21		73.8		18			6.3	1		0
nickel	336		420	45		49		48		56.2		61			64	0		0
selenium	80		100	3.7		2.8		5.4		5.6		2.4			1.8	0		0
zinc		2800	7500	1245		1136		133		1470		1345			1523		0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel or selenium = 10

and the second second second			 the Manager Helder Committee	dani bas correct
	l			

		ice Points			
0	0	0 Points			
•	1-2	10 Points			
0	> 2	15 Points			

- **3.1.2** If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loadings at each land application site? (check applicable box)
 - YesNo (10 points)

O NA. Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)		illowoe M	astewater Treatm	ent Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2014	Reporting	Year: 201			
NA. Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points) NA. Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met(0 points) 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedance Points	osolids Quality and	d Manage	ment (Continued)							
3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedance Points	0	NA. E	Did not exceed limits							
● 0 0 Points							0			
O 1 10 Points O >1 15 Points 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit? O Yes(20 points) No (0 points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? There was no molybdenum source identified and from all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: 621 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:	Exceedance	Points								
3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit? O Yes(20 points) No (0 points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? There was no molybdenum source identified and from all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: Doutfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:	•	Э	0 Points							
3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit? O Yes(20 points) No (0 points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? There was no molybdenum source identified and from all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:	0	1	10 Points							
O Yes(20 points) No (0 points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? There was no molybdenum source identified and from all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:	0 >	> 1	15 Points	,						
O Yes(20 points) No (0 points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? There was no molybdenum source identified and from all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: 002 Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: 621 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process: Care of the metals been identified?				1 1 1 1 11:						
No (0 points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? There was no molybdenum source identified and from all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:		The second second second second second	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	exceeded the celli	ng iimit?		0			
Has the source of the metals been identified? There was no molybdenum source identified and from all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: 621 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:		•	• •	•	•					
all appearances the July molybdenum value is an anomoly. Pathogen Control(per outfall): Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit F Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: 621 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:					ed at any time, what action	was taken?				
Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: B Bacteria Type and Limit Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: Process:	Pathogen Co	ontrol(per	outfall):							
Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:	. Gallegan									
Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: Process:	Outfall Num	nber:		002						
Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: 621 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:		Biosolids Class:		В						
Sample Dates: 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM Density: 621 Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:	Bacteria Ty	Bacteria Type and Limit		F	F					
Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process:	Sample Da	Sample Dates:		1 '						
Process:	Density:	Density:		621						
	Sample Co	Sample Concentratinor Amount:		CFU/G TS	CFU/G TS					
	Process:	Process:								
Process Description:	Process De	escription:								

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2013 5/13/2014

Biosolids Quality and Management (Continued)

s Quality and Management (Continued)	
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit	F ·
Sample Dates:	03/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 04/30/2013 12:00:00 AM
Density:	2309
Sample Concentratinor Amount:	CFU/G TS
Process:	
Process Description:	
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit	F
Sample Dates:	09/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 10/31/2013 12:00:00 AM
Density:	251
Sample Concentratinor Amount:	CFU/G TS
Process:	
Process Description:	
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit	F
Sample Dates:	11/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 12/31/2013 12:00:00 AM
Density:	243
Sample Concentratinor Amount:	CFU/G TS
Process:	
Process Description:	

Last Updated: 5/13/2014 Reporting Year: 2013 Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Biosolids Quality and Management (Continued) 003 Outfall Number: В Biosolids Class: F Bacteria Type and Limit 01/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 12/31/2013 Sample Dates: 12:00:00 AM 573 Density: Sample Concentratinor Amount: CFU/G TS Process: Process Description: 003 Outfall Number: В Biosolids Class: F . Bacteria Type and Limit Sample Dates: 05/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 06/30/2013 12:00:00 AM 275 Density: CFU/G TS Sample Concentratinor Amount: Process: Process Description: Outfall Number: 003 Biosolids Class: В F Bacteria Type and Limit 07/01/2013 12:00:00 AM - 08/31/2013 Sample Dates: 12:00:00 AM 573 Density: CFU/G TS Sample Concentratinor Amount: Process: Process Description: 4.1 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application(40 Points) 4.1.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at any time? 0 Yes No

If yes, what action was taken?

y Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatme	ent Facility Last Updated: 5/13/2014	Reporting Year
ds Quality and Management (Continued)		
		·
Vector Attraction Reduction(per outfall):0		
lo (CHA)	002	
Outfall Number:	002 02/28/2013 12:00:00 AM	
Method Date:	1NJ	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	002	
Method Date:	04/30/2013 12:00:00 AM	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	
Limit (if applicable):	IIVO	maria de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de
Results (if applicable):		
results (ii applicable).		
Outfall Number:	002	
Method Date:	10/31/2013 12:00:00 AM	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	002	
Method Date:	12/31/2013 12:00:00 AM	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	003	
Method Date:	12/31/2013 12:00:00 AM	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	003	

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Reporting Year: 2013

Last Updated:

5/13/2014 Biosolids Quality and Management (Continued) 06/30/2013 12:00:00 AM Method Date: INJ Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Limit (if applicable): Results (if applicable): 003 Outfall Number: 08/31/2013 12:00:00 AM Method Date: INJ Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Limit (if applicable): Results (if applicable): 5.1 If the limit or criteria was exceeded at the time of land application, 40 point 0 5.1.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at any time? σ Yes No If yes, what action was taken? Biosolids Storage:0 6. 0 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? >+ 180 days (0 points) 0 150 - 179 days (10 points) 0 120 - 149 days (20 points) 0 90 - 119 days (30 points) 0 < 90 days (40 points) 0 Not Applicable (0 points) 6.2 If you check Not Applicable above, explain why. issues: 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall mgt?

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2014	Reporting Year: 2013
Biosolids Quality and Management (Continued)	1	
Due to frequent rains and wet field conditions the lagoons did not get emptied in 2013.		

	electrones.
	SHE
	955024742
Score (100 Total Points Generated) 90	
Score (100 = Lotal Points Generated).	2021EE
	2004E0
Section Grade	
Section Cuesco and a section c	(444)

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2013 5/13/2014

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

	Questions	Points
1.	Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	*****
	Yes O No If No, please describe:	
	Could use more help/staff for:	- I
		_
2.	Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and ful all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	ıfill
	YesNo. Explain	
	O No. Explain	
	A LAND Land Land for a secretative maintenance on mai	ior 0
3.	Did your plant have a <u>documented AND implemented</u> plan for preventative maintenance on maj equipment items?	Joi 0
	Yes (Continue with questions below) O No (40 points and go to question 6)	
	If No, explain:	
4.	Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, ar other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	nd 0
	YesNo (10 points)	
		0
5.	Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
	YesO (Paper file system)	
	O (Computer program)	
	(Both Paper and Computer)O No (10 points)	
6.	Did your plant have a detailed O&M Manual that was used as a reference when needed?	

Facilit	y Name: Manit	rowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2014	Reporting Year: 2013
Staffing	g and Preventa	tive Maintenance (All Treatment Plants) (Cor	tinued)	
	•	Yes		
	0	No		
7.	Rate the overa	all maintenance of your wastewater plant.		
	0	Excellent		
	•	Very Good		
	0	Good		
	0	Fair		
	0	Poor		
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Describe your	rating:		
	remove	k orders and preventative maintenance is co ed and rebuilt, along with several VFD's that eplaced.	mpleted. A stack filter pun reached the end of their u	np was seful life
	<u> </u>			

	correspondent and the access of
	A TOP OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN
	Table U. Harring
SECOND CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY	and the first blood of the state of the
	400
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
	A THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF
Section Grade	A
	COSTONIA SANCTONIA CONTRACTOR

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/13/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Operator Certification and Education

Operat	or Certification and		ezeragai gallaksi serimasian
a la	Did you have a do	Questions esignated operator-in-charge during the report year?	Points 0
	•	Yes (0 point) No (20 points)	
	Name:	BRIAN M HELMINGER	
	Certification No:	28032	
2,	and subclass(es)	th Chapter NR 114.08 and 114.09, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what grade were required for the operator-in-charge to operate the wastewater treatment rade and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?	
	Required:	4 - ABEFGHIJ; A - PRIMARY SETTLING; B - TRICKLING FILTER/RBC; E - DISINFECTION; F - ANAEROBIC DIGESTION; G - MECHANICAL SLUDGE; H - FILTRATION; I - PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL; J - LABORATORY	
	Held:	4 - ABCEFGHIJ; T - D; 4 - A=PRIMARY SETTLING GRADE 4; B=TRICKLING FILTER/RBC GRADE 4; C=ACTIVATED SLUDGE GRADE 4; E=DISINFECTION GRADE 4; F=ANAEROBIC DIGESTION GRADE 4; G=MECHANICAL SLUDGE GRADE 4; H=FILTRATION GRADE 4; I=PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL GRADE 4; J=LABORATORY GRADE 4; T - D=PONDS/AEREATED LAGOONS GRADE T	
3.	Was the operato	r-in-charge certified at the appropriate level to operate this plant?	0
	0	Yes (0 point) No (20 points)	
4.	ensure the conti	ne loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to nued proper operation & maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the s (check all that apply):	0
	4.1 × 4.2 × 4.3 × 4.4	one or more additional certified operators on staff an arrangement with another certified operator an arrangement with another community with a certified operator	

Facilit	y Name: Manito	woc Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2014	Reporting Year: 2013
Operat	or Certification a	nd Education (Continued)		
	4.5	an operator on staff who has an operator-in is expected be certified within one year a consultant to serve as your certified opera None of the above (20 points) The Assistant Superintendent and Chemis Grade 4 level for this fcility.	ator	
5.	If you had a des education credit	ignated operator-in-charge, was the operato s at the following rates?	r-in-charge earning conti	nuing
	Grades T, 1, ar O O Grades 3 and 4 O O Not applicable:	Averaging 6 or more CEUs per year Averaging less than 6 CEUs per year : Averaging 8 or more CEUs per year Averaging less than 8 CEUs per year		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/11/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Financial Management

Discount of the Control of the Contr	arwanagoment	Questions	Points
1.	Person Providing This Financial Information		
	Name:	Brian Helminger	
	Telephone:	(920) 686-3550	
	E-Mail Address(optional):	bhelminger@manitowoc.org	
2.	Are User Charge or other Re treatment plant AND/OR coll	evenues sufficient to cover O&M Expenses for your wastewater ection system?	0
	Yes (0 poin	nts)	
	O No (40 poir	nts)	
	If No, please explain:	·	
3.	When was the User Charge Year: 2013	System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised?	0
	• 0-2 years a	ago (0 points)	
		years ago (20 points)	
		able (Private Facility)	0
4.	financial resources available plant and/or collection syste	unt (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment m?	Ü
	Yes		
	O No (40 poi	nts)	
	REPLACEMENT FUNDS(P	PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 5)	
5.	Equipment Replacement Fu	nds	
	5.1 When was the Equipme Year: 2013	ent Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?	0
	• 1-2 years a	ago (0 points)	
	B .	years ago (20 points)	
	O Not Applic	able Explain:	
	5.2 What amount is in your	•	
	F 2.4 Ending Polence De	Equipment Replacement Fund Activity eported on Last Year's CMAR: \$8542921	
	5.4.1 Ending Balance Re	eported on Last Year's CMAR: \$8542921	

Last Updated:

6/11/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Financial Management (Continued) 5.2.2 Adjustments \$409,905.00 if necessary (e.g., earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.) \$8,952,826.00 5.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance **5.2.4** Additions to Fund (e.g., portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) \$0.00 5.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs \$0.00 - use description box 5.2.5.1 below*.) \$8,952,826.00 5.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year (All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.) *5.2.5.1. Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases and/or major repairs from 5.2.5 above Fund balance as reported on the CAFER report for the year ended 2013. 5.3 What amount should be in your replacement \$7.058.091.00 (If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP option button.) 5.3.1 Is the Dec. 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above (#5.2.6) equal to or greater than the amount that should be in it(#5.3)? Yes 0 No Explain: 6. Future Planning 6.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? Yes (If yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below) 0 **Project Description Estimated Cost** Approximate Construction Year \$373536.7 2006 Lakeside Blvd liftstation upgrade- replace liftstation and relocate the existing forcemain \$413660 2006 2006 Sewer relay projects Sewer relay - Holly Drive - E. Magnolia to East Cedar Ave. Sewer relay - E. Magnolia Ave - to Memorial Drive - to Holly Drive Project total 2194 ft.

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2013 6/11/2014

Financial Management (Con-	itinued)	
----------------------------	----------	--

al Management (Continued)		
North 40th and Archer liftstation/forcemain/discharge reroute - Complete replacement of existing liftstation, replacement and upsize of under river forcemain, reroute of sewage flow via forcemain and gravity line.	\$2304764.6	2009
2006 Relining of Sewers WU-06-7 Reline of sanitary sewers consisting of: 4735 LF of 8" sanitary sewer, 210 LF of 10" sanitary sewer, 1255 LF of 18" sanitary sewer, and 1262 LF of 20" sanitary sewer.	\$295897	2006
2007 Relining of Sewers WU-07-7 Reline of sanitary sewers consiting of 3551 LF of 8" sanitary sewer and 1706 LF of 10" sanitary sewer.	\$118135	2007
2007 Sewer Relay projects - South 18th Street from Grand Ave to Dewey Street, Rankin from N 18th Street to North 21st, and North 11th from Waldo Blvd to School Street	\$244754	2007
WWTF SCADA upgrade - replacement computers and update of control software. Custom programming and addition of historical trending.	\$25573	2007
Replacement WWTF Utility/Plow truck	\$24349	2007
Chlorination system improvments - vacuum chlorine withdrawl manifold added and replacement gas leak detection equipment	\$6361	2007
Tertiary Filter Improvements - removal and disposal of existing media, remove and clean air scour laterals, repair and ancohor air scour piping, replace main air supply connections, install new filtration media	\$172250	2008
South 35th & Meadow Lane Reroute of the 40th and Archer liftstation discharge flow. Installation of 1649 feet of 16 inch PVC forcemain and 1876 feet of 18 inch PVC sewer pipe with manholes and steel casing for rail road crossing.	\$229283	2008
2008 Sanitary Sewer Relay Project - Madison St to South 10th Street, South 8th Street to Madison Street Abandon existing and install a total of 1830 feet of 6" sanitary building sewer, 173 feet of 8" sanitary sewer, 90 feet of 10" sanitary sewer, and 833 feet of 15" sanitary sewer pipe.	\$84131.52	2008
2008 New and Relay Sanitary Sewer Wisconsin Ave, Hecker Road, and South 6th and Jay street projects Abandon and replace various sewers, replace manholes and casting and covers	\$77914.68	2008
2008 Re-lining of Sanitary Sewers Reline of 3079 feet of 8" sanitary sewer, 1554 feet of 12" sanitary sewer, and 2126 feet of 15" sanitary sewer	\$222302.25	2008
Replacement of Wastewater Utility passenger vehicle	\$17267	2009
2009 Relining sanitary sewers - Furnish and install 1234 feet CIPP 8" sewer, 3144 feet CIPP 10" sewer, and 279 feet of CIPP 12" sewer	\$124303	2009
South 10th Street Sanitary Sewer Relay - Remove, Supply, Install, Relay of 2658 feet 8" sewer, 1063 feet 10" sewer, 293 feet of 15" sewer and 45 feet of 18" sewer.	\$395787.81	2009
2009 New & Relay Sanitary Sewers - Reed Avenue - E. Crescent Drive - MacAurther Drive - E. Linden Avenue - Arden Lane - S. 15th Street	\$285715.57	2009

Last Updated Reporting Year: 2013 Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility 6/11/2014 Financial Management (Continued) \$678715 2010 Step Screens and Washer Compactors - removal of existing coarse bar screens(2) and replacement with Vulcan 1/8" fine step screens(2) each mated with Vulcan screenings washer/compactors 2010 Relining Sanitary Sewers - furnish and install CIPP for \$155456.5 2010 4359 feet of 8" sanitary sewer and 2090 feet of 10" sanitary sewer 2010 2010 Relay Sanitary Sewers - Macarther Drive, Iris Drive, E. \$409346.4 Linden Avenue - Remove, replace, and restore 1556 feet of 8" sanitary sewer line 2010 \$128200 Sanitary sewer capacity study 2011 Relining of Sanitary Sewers - Furnish and install CIPP for 5028 feet of 8 inch lines, 921 feet of 10 inch sewer, 1398 feet of \$238180 2011 15 inch sewer, and 622 feet of 20 inch sewer lines. 2012 2012 Relining of Sanitary Sewers - Furnish and install CIPP for \$138527 2077 feet of 8 inch lines, 961 feet of 10 inch sewer, 696 feet of 12 inch sewer, 870 feet of 15 inch sewer. Includes mobilization, traffic control, and lateral reinstatment. WWTF Operational Needs Review - Consultant to be hired to \$54500 2012 evaluate the existing facility and make recommendations for future capital projects based on current and aniticpated future NPDES permit requirements. The RFP's from prospective consultants are due 7/3/12 2011 \$196777 Hot water pipe replacement - WWTF Piping failed requiring replacement. Complete design, purchase, excavation, and replacement of supply and return underground hydronic piping from Building 800 to Building 100 and Building 930. Remove leaking existing membrane roof and insulation and \$57000 2013 replace with new insulation and built up asphaltic roofing system on the mid level roof of building 500 - Stack Filter building. \$242742 2013 2013 Relining of Sanitary Sewers - Furnish and install CIPP for 3324 feet of 8 inch lines, 101 feet of 10 inch lines, 1674 feet of 12 inch lines, 396 feet of 15 inch lines, and 722 feet of 21 inch sewer lines. Includes mobilization, traffic control, and lateral reinstatment. \$146365.87 2013 2013 Sanitary Sewer Construction Furnish and relay 737 ft of 8" sewer, 53 ft of 10" sewer, 24 ft of 12" sewer and 31 ft of 15" sewer. 2014 2014 Relining of Sanitary Sewers - Furnish and install CIPP for \$284,057.00 9086 feet of 8 inch, 867 feet of 10 inch, 365 feet of 12 inch, to include mobilization, traffic control, and lateral reinstatement. Financial Management General Comments: Planning for a WWTF upgrade with design work to start second half 2015 and completion by mid 2018.

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/11/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Financial Management (Continued)

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2013 6/11/2014

Financial Management (Continued)

Total Points Generated	. 0
	100
	A

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/11/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Sanitai	ry Sewer C	ollection Systems	
		Questions	Points
1.	Do you ha WPDES p	eve a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance(CMOM) requirement in your permit?	
	(O Yes ● No	
2.		ave a <u>documented</u> (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer system operation & maintenance or CMOM program last calendar year?	0
V200400117745		Yes (go to question 3) No (30 points) (go to question 4)	
3.	Check the CMOM pr	e elements listed below that are included in your Operation and Maintenance (O&M) or ogram.:	
		Goals: Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system: Organization: Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that you have): Ownership and governing body description Organizational chart Personnel and position descriptions Internal communication procedures Public information and education program Legal Authority: Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply): Sewer use ordinance Last Revised MM/DD/YYYY Pretreatment/Industrial control Programs Fat, Oil and Grease control Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial) Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc) Private lateral inspections/repairs Service and management agreements Maintenance Activities: details in Question 4 Design and Performance Provisions: How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly? State plumbing code DNR NR 110 standards Local municipal code requirements Construction, inspection and testing Others: Wisconsin Sewer and Water Standard Specifications	L

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/11/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Continued)							
	Overflow Emergency Response Plan: Does your emergency response capability						
	include (check only those that you have):						
		Alarm system and routine testing					
		Emergency equipment					
		Emergency procedures					
	1571	Communications/Notifications (DNR, Internal, Public, Media etc)					
		Capacity Assurance: How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following?					
	Current and up-to-date sewer map						
	Sewer system plans and specifications						
	Manhole location map						
	Lift station pump and wet well capacity information						
		∠ Lift station O&M manuals					
:		Within your sewer system have you identified the following?					
		🔀 Areas with flat sewers					
		Areas with surcharging					
		Areas with bottlenecks or constrictions					
		Areas with chronic basement backups or SSO's					
		Areas with excess debris, solids or grease accumulation					
		Areas with heavy root growth					
		Areas with excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I)					
		Sewers with severe defects that affect flow capacity					
		Adequacy of capacity for new connections					
	Lift station capacity and/or pumping problems Annual Self-Auditing of your O&M/CMOM Program to ensure above components are						
	being implemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed.						
		Special Studies Last Year(check only if applicable):					
	Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis						
		Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)					
	Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)						
	Lift Station Evaluation Report						
	Others:						
4.	Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following						
	maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained:						
	Cleaning						
	Root Re	emoval 5 % of system/year					
	Flow Mo	onitoring 0 % of system/year					
	Smoke 7	Testing 0 % of system/year					
	Sewer Line Televising 7.5 % of system/year						
	Manhole	e Inspections 100 % of system/year					

/ Sewer Collection Lift Station O&M	on Systems (Cor					
),, o') o'io',, o (o'o',	ntinued)				
	1	14 # per L.S/year				
Manhole Rehabilitation		1 % of manholes rehabed				
Mainline Rehabilitation		1 % of sewer lines rehabed				
Private Sewer Inspections		0 % of system/year				
Private Sewer I/I	l Removal	0 % of private services				
Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:						
The 100% value reported above for cleaning applies to sewer pipes 12 inches in diameter ansmaller. there 58 emergency sewer calls in 2013 which 49 ended up being blocked laterals and 9 were for blocked main lines. The manhole inspections are done in conjunction with sewer jetting operations.						
Provide the follow	wing collection s	ystem and flow information for the past year:				
32.18 30.5 189.75 14 0 9 9 58 6.309 10.102	Annual Average Miles of Sanita Number of Lift Number of Se Number of Ba Number of Co Average Daily Peak Monthly	ge Precipitation (for your location) ary Sewer t Stations t Station Failure ewer Pipe Failures asement Backup Occurrences amplaints of Flow in MGD Flow in MGD(if available)				
	Manhole Rehabi Mainline Rehabi Private Sewer Ir Private Sewer I/ Please include a The 100% valuansmaller, ther and 9 were for sewer jetting o Provide the follo 32.18 30.5 189.75 14 0 9 9 58 6.309	Manhole Rehabilitation Mainline Rehabilitation Private Sewer Inspections Private Sewer I/I Removal Please include additional common and the sewer for blocked main lines sewer jetting operations. Provide the following collection sewer jetting operations. Provide the following collection sewer jetting operations. Total Actual A Annual Average Number of Lift Number of Lift Number of Seep Number of Seep Number of Collection Seppending Average Daily Peak Monthly	Mainline Rehabilitation 1			

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/11/2014

Reporting Year: 2013

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Continued)

	LIST OF SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) REPORTED					
		Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume (MG)	
	1.	08/29/2013 12:00:00 AM to 09/13/2013 12:00:00 AM	Stormwater outfall on South Water Street	Broken Sewer, Broken Sewer	0.17	
\	On to What The sar	his section untractions were sewer line to the day it was	ny SSO's that are not listed above, until corrected. e taken, or are underway, to reduce or nat leaked was no longer active and w televised and verified.	eliminate SSO occurences	in the future?	
F			INDICATORS			
	<u> </u>	0.00	Lift Station Failures(failures/ps/year)		·	
	L).05	Sewer Pipe Failures(pipe failures/sew	ver mile/yr)		
	ļ).01	Sanitary Sewer Overflows (number/se	• •		
	0.05 Basement Backups(number/sewer mile)					
	L).31	Complaints (number/sewer mile)			
	L.	1,.6	Peaking Factor Ratio (Peak Monthly:			
		0.0	Peaking Factor Ratio(Peak Hourly:Ar	nual daily Average)		
V	Vas	infiltration/inf	low(I/I) significant in your community la	ast year?		
	1/1 i	es, please de	Yes No scribe: he community but not to the extent to	which it has caused overflow	s from the	
			ow and resultant high flows affected p lift stations, or treatment plant at any		ems in your	
and a second of the		_	Yes			
	lf Ya	es, please de	No scribe:			
		o, piodo do	· ·			
E	Explain any infiltration/inflow(I/I) changes this year from previous years?					
	The city has purchased 5 portable flowmeters to monitor questionable areas of the sewer system.					
)	Nha	it is being dor	ne to address infiltration/inflow in your	collection system?		
				-		

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/11/2014	Reporting Year: 2013
Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Continued)	***************************************	The state of the s
There is an annual and consistent efforts made in televisir and jetting and flushing of sewers to maintain the system and the system of the sy		ot cutting,

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2013

WPDES No.0024601

GRADING SUMMARY					
SECTION	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Influent Loadings	Α	4.0	3	12	
Effluent Quality:BOD	С	2.0	10	20	
Effluent Quality:TSS	Α	4.0	5	20	
Effluent Quality:P	Α	4.0	3	. 12	
Biosolids Mgt.	В	3.0	5	15	
Prev.Maintenance:Staffing	Α	4.0	1	4	
Operator Certification	Α	4.0	1	4	
Financial Management	Α	4.0	1	4	
Collection Systems	A	4.0	3	12	
TOTALS			32	103	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE(GPA)=3.22		3.22			

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range

B = Voluntary Range

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Facility Name: Manitowoc Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2013 Resolution or Owner's Statement NAME OF GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER DATE OF RESOLUTION OR ACTION TAKEN 6-16-14 City of Manitowoc Common Council RESOLUTION NUMBER 14-1275 ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F. Regardless of grade, required for Collection Systems if SSO's were reported): Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade=A Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade=C Effluent quality and the biomass on the fixed film process is greatly effected by cold weather and low influent temperatures. The same is true of any fixed film process in a northern climate. Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade=A Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade=A Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade=B Staffing: Grade=A

Operator Certification: Grade=A

Financial Management: Grade=A

Collection Systems: Grade=A

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) **G.P.A. = 3.22**