## **Amber Noworatzky**

From:

Greg Minikel

Sent:

Wednesday, July 24, 2019 4:23 PM

To:

Amber Noworatzky

Subject:

RE: You aren't going to like me.....but.....PARKING!

How does this sound:

"Proposed Parking Stall Markings on the west side of N. 9th St. north of Maritime Dr. in front of 3 unused driveways"

From: Amber Noworatzky

Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2019 3:39 PM

To: Greg Minikel

Subject: RE: You aren't going to like me.....but.....PARKING!

Thanks greg. Any suggestion on how I should word that second topic to be discussed?



Amber Noworatzky | Administrative Assistant City of Manitowoc | Police Department (920) 686.6559 F: (920) 686.6588

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents, including attachments, may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. These items are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s) or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message. Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not a named recipient, please contact the sender immediately and do not disclose the contents to another, use it for any purpose, store or copy the information in any medium. Please destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you.

From: Greg Minikel

Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2019 2:18 PM

To: Amber Noworatzky

Subject: FW: You aren't going to like me.....but.....PARKING!

I see that you were not copied on this e-mail.

I think this will answer your questions from your voicemail.

This issue needs to go back to the Public Safety Committee meeting on August 12<sup>th</sup> per the Mayor's request to Ald. Howe.

From: Elizabeth Majerus

Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:40 AM

To: Justin Nickels; Mike Howe

**Cc:** Nick Reimer; Dan Koski; Nicholas Mueller; Greg Minikel; Chad Scheinoha; Kathleen McDaniel **Subject:** RE: You aren't going to like me....but.....PARKING!

Mayor, quick question on your second point: do you suggest we reinstall curb along the Cress property or simply paint stalls around the driveways leaving the driveway access free of parking? As I noted in an earlier email on this topic, I think encouraging one to park a car at the driveway access point is unsafe and exposes the City to liability. I'd support either painting around the driveways or re-curbing the extra driveways before painting stalls in front of them- either of these options is feasible without Mr. Cress' permission.

From: Justin Nickels

Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 1:59 PM

To: Mike Howe

Cc: Nick Reimer; Danny Harms; Todd Lotz; Steven Czekala - D9; Michael Williams - D8; Bruce Jacobs - D10; Dan Koski;

Nicholas Mueller; Greg Minikel; Chad Scheinoha; Elizabeth Majerus; Kathleen McDaniel

**Subject:** You aren't going to like me.....but.....PARKING!

Alderman Howe,

Your favorite subject. Sorry.

I went on a ride-along with Danny this morning and we checked out a bunch of areas downtown. Danny gave me great information on where the hot spots are, and where we have some parking restrictions that might not make sense.

I understand the parking study tells us how we should have our parking, but sometimes that isn't the most practical approach (especially for us who live here, not consultants who were here for a week).

I would like to have this discussion at your next Public Safety Committee.

- 1.) Change the 2-hour parking on Maritime Drive (from 9<sup>th</sup> street to 10<sup>th</sup> street) to unlimited. No one was parked there today and there aren't any businesses that require 2-hour on the strip.
  - a. Bridgeview Bar and Bumper to Bumper have their own parking lots for their patrons and employees.
  - b. McMullen and Pitz is requesting this change also. They do not have a need for 2-hour.
  - c. With the change to 2-hour on 9<sup>th</sup> Street between the river and Maritime Drive, we should be fine to make this recommended change.
  - d. Danny supports this change.
- 2.) John Cress informed city staff that he will not allow us to paint parking stalls on the street in front of his non-needed curb cuts (the former bank area) unless we change that street from unlimited to 2-hour.
  - a. I do not believe it is right for him to hold the city hostage by not allowing us to do that unless he gets his way. It was CLEAR at the last meeting that the only reason he wants this 2-hour change is so he can charge people to park in his private lot. A very important note: we are not required at all to have his permission to do this this is the city's street and the city's curb cuts. A few thoughts on that:
    - i. He still has ample 2-hour parking spots on York Street (where his main entrance is anyway).
    - ii. He has an entrance/exit on both 9<sup>th</sup> and Maritime Drive where he could still rent out spots and get his tenants in and out of his property with MORE than enough room.
    - iii. If we paint parking spots on 9<sup>th</sup> street, it will help everyone understand what is a parking spot and what isn't. This would alleviate his comment from the last meeting, and help Forefront with people parking in their driveway.
    - iv. It is clear he is trying to make money off this. While that is his right, I don't believe he should hold the city hostage to not change these 3 curb cuts and make more on-street parking, which we clearly need and there is clearly no need for 2-hour parking on that portion of 9<sup>th</sup> street.

Thanks for your consideration. I will gladly attend your next meeting to speak in favor of these 2 requests.